
Subject: Re: For loops vs. matrix operations

Posted by [marc schellens\[1\]](#) on Thu, 18 Dec 2003 07:25:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Marshall Perrin wrote:

> Jonathan Greenberg <greenberg@ucdavis.edu> wrote:

>

>> I know some matrix programs perform better if you do straight matrix math vs.

>> a for-next loop -- is idl this way? E.g. is:

>>

>> array=intarr(10000)

>> for i=0,(10000-1) do begin

>> array[i]=array[i]+1

>> endfor

>>

>> MUCH slower than:

>>

>> array=intarr(10000)

>> array=array+1

>>

>> ?

>

>

> Yes, the for loop version will be *vastly* slower. This is because IDL

> makes a separate trip through the parse/interpret cycle for every pass

> through the for loop, greatly increasing the overhead.

Interpret cycle only. Parsing is only done once.

>> I'm trying to figure out how much time I should be using rewriting some code

>> to optimize the algorithm, which is why I'm asking (the code is more complex

>> than above, obviously, but I did notice I could "matricize" some of the code

>> in places)...

>

>

> Matricize as much as you possibly can!

True always and anyway.

marc
