Subject: Re: Why does IDL get this wrong?
Posted by Bruce Bowler on Fri, 02 Jan 2004 19:00:28 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Fri, 02 Jan 2004 11:29:09 -0700, David Fanning put fingers to keyboard and said:

```
> Bruce Bowler writes:
>> x=[0,0,0,0,44.8,75.7,64.1,70.4,73.9,74.2,68.1,48.9,44.0,35.7,27.6,12.3,7.3,0]
>> y=[-85,-75,-65,-55,-45,-35,-25,-15,-5,5,15,25,35,45,55,65,75,85]
>> title='title'
>> label='line1!Cline2'
>> plot, x, y, yrange = [-90, 90], /ystyle, /xstyle, ytickformat = "(a1)",
>> title = title, xtitle = label, xrange = [0, 100], ticklen = -0.03
>>
>> If ticklen is +0.03, all is fine, but setting it negative (to get the
>> ticks outside the box) and the second line of the xtitle is lost.
>
  Just give yourself some more room for the plot. Add a POSITION keyword:
>
>> plot, x, y, yrange = [-90, 90], /ystyle, /xstyle, ytickformat = "(a1)",
>> $
     title = title, xtitle = label, xrange = [0, 100], ticklen = -0.03, $
>>
     POSITION=[0.1, 0.15, 0.9, 0.9]
>
>
>
```

Which works (even if entirely less than IOTTMCO {intuitivly obvious to the most casual observer}), but gets inordinately complex if the plot in question is part of a multiplot.

I maintain (and always will, just because that's the way I am :-) that what IDL does now is "wrong". It, and it alone, knows how it is going to render what I ask it to render. It must be able to position the data so that all of what I ask it to render is rendered...

```
>> related question (in that involves plots), is there a way to
>> draw the
>> box around the plot (the boss likes that) but *not* draw the tickmarks
>> on the non-primary lines of the box?
>
> Plot, findgen(11), XStyle=8, YStyle=8; or 9 if you want exact ranges
> Plots, [!X.CRange[1], !X.Crange[1]], [!Y.CRange[0], !Y.CRange[1]]
> Plots, [!X.CRange[0], !X.Crange[1]], [!Y.CRange[1], !Y.CRange[1]]
```

Works like a champ...

++-	+
Bruce Bowler	Sex is the worst reason to marry or divorce Dr.
1.207.633.9600	Albert Ellis
bbowler@bigelow	.org
+	<del>-</del>