Subject: Re: IDL vs Direct3D, OpenGL Posted by David Fanning on Wed, 14 Jan 2004 23:35:55 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

David Yip writes:

- > I'll have to disagree with this. It takes me far longer, far longer,
- > to develop an application in IDL than it would in straight C++ and
- > OpenGL. A good C++ compiler catches a lot of errors that IDL's
- > "compiler" doesn't. Many of these errors, such as undefined
- > variables, only show up at runtime.

Undefined variables. In software code!? Oh, dear. :-(I would think a typing course might help more than a better compiler. :-)

- > In a complex application it takes
- > forever to validate the code again after a fairly minor change.

Say what!?

- > If performance is a concern at all. Don't use IDL. It's not exactly
- > speedy.

Oh, come on.

> Also, IDLDE's constant crashing doesn't help the development process.

My goodness. Has your warranty run out? I really think you should take this back. Whatever you got was NOT the IDL I work with.

- > I would buy the best video adapter you can that will run with
- > IDL. I have one of the best adapters available, it's an nVidia by the
- > way, and the driver crashes under IDL. Just with IDL, it doesn't seem
- > to crash with any of the the other apps I've tried. I'm forced to run
- > in software mode now. Make sure you can exchange the graphics card if
- > it's not compatible with IDL.

I have to say, my nVidia GForce 4 graphics card has been terrific. I don't think I have ever crashed the IDLDE for *any* reason, at least not in memory.

\sim	h	~	rc	
C	116	ナヒ	ers	١,

David

David W. Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155