Subject: Re: "Color vectors" & shading Posted by Karl Schultz on Wed, 21 Jan 2004 18:41:24 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"Neil" <nasalmon@onetel.net.uk> wrote in message news:74039481.0401210024.11f5b707@posting.google.com...

- > "Karl Schultz" <kschultz no spam@rsinc.com> wrote in message news:<100rj8ksoii7b80@corp.supernews.com>...
- >> "Neil" <nasalmon@onetel.net.uk> wrote in message
- >> news:74039481.0401201339.d26efa4@posting.google.com...
- >>> Does anyone know how i correctly implement "color vectors" in
- >>> "setProperty" to give each polygon of an object its own predetermined
- >>> intensity level or colour? It should be that setting "shading" = 0 in
- >>> "setProperty" should make it so that the colour of each polygon is
- >>> defined by the "colour vertex" of the first vertex of the polygon
- >>> connectivity. However, generally the number of "first vertices" of a
- >>> polygon of an object is actually less than the number of polygons.
- >>> That means you cant use this method to give each polygon of an object
- >>> a predetermined different colour or have i missed something? It
- >>> seems to me that what is really needed is a colour vector for the
- >>> polygons not the vertices.

>>

- >> There's no way to do this today if you have vertices that are shared between
- >> polygons. There isn't a polygon color vector.

>>

- >> What you could do is make a vertex list and a connectivity list that creates
- >> a set of independent polygons and then set the color of all the vertices
- >> belonging to each polygon to the same color. Actually, you would only need
- >> to set the first vertex of a polygon to an actual color; the rest are
- >> ignored if you are doing flat shading.

- >> Obviously, if you have many polygons that used to share vertices, this will
- >> require more space.

>>

- >> For example, if you have 4 vertices, A, B, C, D, that form 2 triangles
- >> a shared edge, the triangles might be ABC, and DCB. If the vertices are in
- >> the vertex list as ABCD, the conn list would be 3 0 1 2 3 3 2 1. To make
- >> them independent triangles, your vertex list would be ABCDCB and the
- >> list 3 0 1 2 3 3 4 5. You would set the color of vert A (at 0) to the
- >> desired color and the color of vert D (at 3) to the desired color. The

rest

- >> of the vertex colors list can be left uninitialized.
- >>
- >> It isn't very efficient, but would work well if you don't have that many
- >> polygons.
- >>
- >> Karl
- > Karl.
- >
- > many thanks for your response.

- > It's a shame there are no polygon colour vectors. If you think about
- > nature and surfaces, it is the surface that has the colour. The vertex
- > is just a manmade mathematical entity to descibe the surfaces. I am
- > sure it would be the wish of graphics programmes to mimic nature as
- > cloesly as possible in the most efficient way.

I suppose. But objects can vary in color across the extents of a single polygon. It would be cool to be able to specify the color of every pixel on an object, but that is what texture mapping is all about. So, the next best thing is to specify the color at each vertex and allow interpolation between vertices. This is a pretty effective way of handling lighting models. I guess this is all pretty debatable.

- > Is the absence of polygon colour vectors a fundamental limitation of
- > IDL?

Yes. It is just a limitation of the IDLgrPolygon object.

> Does OpenGL have this limitation?

No. OpenGL has the concept of "current color" as IDL passes each vertex to it. IDL would simply set the color of the first vertex before sending the first vertex to OpenGL, and then not change the color for subsequent vertices. IDL would just have to fetch the color from the polygon color list instead of the vertex color list.

- > Would there be any plans for
- > RSI to introduce an efficient method in IDL to enable each polygon to
- > have a predetermined and unique colour/intensity?

I can write a feature request.

The same sort of argument also applies to normals. If you are doing smooth shading, IDL uses the vertex normals you pass or computes them, based on the average geometric normal of polygons that use each vertex. If you are doing flat shading, IDL computes the geometric normal of each polygon and uses

that. So, there is no way to pass in polygon normals directly. You'd have to do the same expansion trick we have discussed for the polygon colors and make all the vertex normals the same as the polygon normal you'd like to have for the polygon.

- > I can certainly create an artificial polygon_connectivity for
- > unconnected polygons allows each polygon to have a different
- > intensity.

Yes, this should work just fine, except for the efficiency issue already discussed.

Karl