
Subject: Re: Array indexing problem

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Wed, 28 Jan 2004 22:40:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Michael Wallace writes:

> Could you elaborate on the "enormously appreciated" part of your
> statement? Maybe I'm not thinking in the "IDL Way," but I would not
> have expected the result IDL gives. It may very well be explained in
> the manuals, but why is such a "feature" "enormously appreciated?"

I can't remember. :-)

I do remember the first time I pointed this "bug"
out on the IDL newsgroup years and years ago and
how mortified I was when Ray Sterner publicly
pointed out what a GREAT and USEFUL thing it was.
(In those days Ray was the word on the IDL newsgroup.)

In fact, it may have been this fiasco that caused
Dave Stern to send around that memo forbidding RSI
employees from posting on the newsgroup.

Odd that in 17 years of programming I've never run
across the need for this. But there you go. An historical
perspective.

Maybe we can hear from someone who uses it.

Cheers,

David

P.S. Let's just say I've never bothered to sing much
either after my 7th grade music teacher in a fit of pique
told me I had a "two note range". Funny what power those
formative experiences have on you. :-)

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155
