Subject: Re: Destroying objects
Posted by Michael Wallace on Mon, 16 Feb 2004 20:46:47 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
> cols = ((meta = result_set -> getMetaData())) -> getColumnCount()
> obj_destroy,meta,result_set
>
> should work. Then again, you have to wonder about returning objects
> whose only purpose is to report a value and then get destroyed. I
> should think something like:
> result set : CetMetaData COLUMN, COLUMN, and
```

> result\_set->GetMetaData,COLUMN\_COUNT=cols
>

- > would be a much cleaner syntax, and not employ any intermediary
- > objects to keep track of.

Yes, I agree that the above is much cleaner. In my example, I was using the Java database connectivity classes directly. Since I only have to use a subset of the available Java SQL classes and methods, I wrote a simple Fa�ade for the classes and methods I needed. This ensures that only the most necessary objects are created in IDL and simplifies the access overall. I can definitely see myself using the Fa�ade pattern quite a bit in the coming days....

Mike