Subject: Re: Animations: A can or worms?
Posted by Rick Towler on Thu, 19 Feb 2004 20:34:57 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"Haje Korth" wrote...

> do you have a write up or web page of your codec comparison? I noticed the
> other day on your website I got a page not found. Today I think the topic
> wasn't even clickable. I take any information...

Yeah, when I started updating my site I thought I would get that page done.
I haven't yet so the link isn't live.

A few years back I surveyed every free or almost free codec I could get my
hands on. I took a sample clip and noted visual quality, file size,
compression speed, playback can platform support. I compiled the numbers
and it ended there.

In short what I found was that the Indeo video 5.1 codec is the best for
quality vs file size when multi platform support is key. The Sorenson
video5 codec (in QuickTime pro) was best when only Mac and PC support was
required.

Lately I have been working with the DivX and WMV encoders but I haven't
taken the time to compare them against the others I have tested. They are
on my to-do list. My feeling is that they are at least as good as the
Sorenson codec and probably better in many cases.

And you pointed me to vp3 which I need to look at.

I'll see what I can do to put this all together but it probably won't be
anytime real soon. I'll post to the list when the page is live.

-Rick