Subject: Re: Animations: A can or worms?
Posted by Haje Korth on Fri, 20 Feb 2004 14:17:08 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Rick,
Thanks, I think this is really all I wanted to know. Basically, there is
nothing I have seriously overlooked.

Greetings,
Haje


"Rick Towler" <rtowler@u.washington.edu> wrote in message
news:c136m6$hci$1@nntp6.u.washington.edu...
>
> "Haje Korth"  wrote...
>
>>  do you have a write up or web page of your codec comparison? I noticed
the
>>  other day on your website I got a page not found. Today I think the
topic
>>  wasn't even clickable. I take any information...
>
> Yeah, when I started updating my site I thought I would get that page
done.
> I haven't yet so the link isn't live.
>
> A few years back I surveyed every free or almost free codec I could get my
> hands on.  I took a sample clip and noted visual quality, file size,
> compression speed, playback can platform support.  I compiled the numbers
> and it ended there.
>
> In short what I found was that the Indeo video 5.1 codec is the best for
> quality vs file size when multi platform support is key.  The Sorenson
> video5 codec (in QuickTime pro) was best when only Mac and PC support was
> required.
>
> Lately I have been working with the DivX and WMV encoders but I haven't
> taken the time to compare them against the others I have tested.  They are
> on my to-do list.  My feeling is that they are at least as good as the
> Sorenson codec and probably better in many cases.
>
> And you pointed me to vp3 which I need to look at.
>
> I'll see what I can do to put this all together but it probably won't be
> anytime real soon.  I'll post to the list when the page is live.
>

> -Rick
>
>