
Subject: Re: Optional parameters

Posted by [JD Smith](#) on Tue, 24 Feb 2004 20:13:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 24 Feb 2004 10:59:29 -0700, Pavel Romashkin wrote:

> I agree. I never had a problem with this because I never put unneeded
> variables in a call to a procedure. I fail to see the logic in putting
>
> myfunction, var1=uselessvar
>
> as opposed to just
>
> myfunction
>
> and then worrying about uselessvar getting defined all of a sudden. The
> only time this might happen in real life I suppose is if you use
> copy-paste to insert function calls that address all of the provided keywords.

I'd offer another real life example where read-write keyword variables can get you into trouble. When you call a function several times in a row, expecting the same results each time, but in actuality the keyword variable is defined after the first invocation, which can change the function's behavior:

```
a=myfunc(test,FUNNY_VARIABLE=foo) ; foo undefined  
a=myfunc(test,FUNNY_VARIABLE=foo) ; foo defined  
a=myfunc(test,FUNNY_VARIABLE=foo) ; foo defined
```

For this reason, I try to make keywords either exclusively read (i.e. pass in a value), or exclusively write (i.e. return a value), and not both. It is rather convenient, though, to append a keyword for the sole purpose of keeping some expensive-to-compute data around between calls to the function: this is usually where I get tripped up.

JD
