Subject: Re: Pass-By-Reference question.
Posted by David Fanning on Thu, 11 Mar 2004 12:40:49 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Timm Weitkamp writes:

- > Here's an observation that makes it even stranger: when you change
- > "whaddup.pro" so that NODATA is explicitly set to 0 (instead of one)
- > inside the routine, and you then try to override that by calling

>

> IDL> whaddup, nodata=1

>

- > The amazing thing is that this way it works (i.e., inherited overrides
- > explicit keyword).

I discovered this yesterday when I was playing around with the problem. It reminds me of what happens sometimes when you incorrectly use KEYWORD_SET instead of N_ELEMENTS to determine if a keyword is defined or not, and set a default value. For example, if you use this expression:

IF Keyword Set(mykeyword) THEN mykeyword = 3

instead of this expression:

IF N_ELements(mykeyword) EQ 0 THEN mykeyword = 3

then it is impossible to set this keyword to 0. I just haven't been able to come up with a specific mechanism for this particular situation. But I *have* seen RSI programmers make this kind of mistake in code, so I think the theory is plausible.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting
Covete's Cuido to IDL Broard

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/