
Subject: Re: Are the field lines the trajectory of a particle with mass M?

Posted by [jeyadev](#) on Mon, 15 Mar 2004 22:04:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <405481EF.6359E0DA@saicmodis.com>,

James Kuyper <kuyper@saicmodis.com> wrote:

> pakachunka wrote:

>>

>> I believe the field lines are not the trajectories... but a friend of

>> mine is driving me crazy, because he says they are.

>>

>> How can I demonstrate that field lines are not the trajectories?

>>

>> I mean: what are field lines, to start?

>

> Your question is too broad. There are many kinds of fields. Field lines

Agreed,

> are associated with vector fields. A typical vector field may be

> described by a vector-valued function $v(x,y,z,t)$, which means it has a

> single size and direction for every meaningful combination of x,y,z , and

> t . Field lines are lines associated with a vector field that are

> arranged so that at every point, the tangent to the line at that point

> is in the same direction as the vector field at that point. In other

... but this is a concise answer to the broad question.

> words, the vector field tells the lines where to go.

>

> Now, if the two of you are talking about the velocity field of a fluid,

> then the field lines are indeed exactly the trajectories of the

> individual particles that make up the fluid.

Here, things get complicated. I would suggest a quick read of the first couple of pages of Chap. 3, 'An Introduction to Fluid Dynamics' by G. K. Batchelor. There are three kinds of lines you need to worry about.

1. Streamline: A line whose tangent is everywhere parallel to the velocity vector field $v(x,y,z,t)$ instantaneously. Here, $v(x,y,z,t)$ is just the velocity of the fluid at point (x,y,z) at time t .

2. The Path Line (or simply Path): This is just the path traced by a particular element of the fluid. It coincides with the streamline only when the flow is steady (i.e. the velocity field v does not depend on time).

3. The Streak Line: The line along which lie all those fluid elements

that earlier passed through a certain point in space. This is the line seen when one injects smoke into a gas or a dye into a liquid to get a feel of the 'motion' of the liquid.

> However, fluid dynamics is fairly complicated, and your question gives

Yes! And so, only in the case of the steady state flow do the three kinds of lines coincide. Thus the velocity field 'lines' are NOT, in general, "the trajectories of the individual particles that make up the fluid".

> the impression that you're at a fairly elementary level in physics. In
> that case, the fields you're most likely to run into aren't velocity
> fields, but electrical or gravitational fields. For example, the
> electrical field in the vicinity of a particle with charge Q , at a
> position $\langle x_0, y_0, z_0 \rangle$ has an associated static electrical field at a point
> $\langle x, y, z \rangle$ which is given by
>
> $E(x, y, z) = kQ \langle x - x_0, y - y_0, z - z_0 \rangle / r^2$
>
> where $r^2 = (x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2 + (z - z_0)^2$.
>
> The important thing is that the electrical field is NOT a velocity
> field, and therefore the field lines are not in general the same as the
> particle trajectories. If the electrical field provides the only force
> that is acting on a particle with a charge of q and a mass of m , then it
> will feel an acceleration of Eq/m . Acceleration is not velocity, it's
> the first derivative of the velocity. There's a connection between the
> electrical field lines and the trajectories of the particles, but it's
> not a simple one.

Paths of charged particles in certain special configurations of electric and magnetic fields are rather well studied and an undergraduate text in Classical Mechanics (e.g. Symon or Marion) as well as books on Classical Electrodynamics should have some coverage. These problems are much more fun when relativity comes in :-)

--

Surendar Jeyadev jeyadev@wrc.xerox.bounceback.com

Remove 'bounceback' for email address
