
Subject: Re: Averaging quaternions

Posted by [John Lansberry](#) on Fri, 19 Mar 2004 15:22:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sorry, I didn't finish before sending. I should have mentioned, however, that Craig's suggestion to just "average the components and normalize" is, in fact, a common approach (see, for example, CLAUS GRAMKOW, "On Averaging Rotations", International Journal of Computer Vision 42(1/2), 7-16, 2001).

So Craig's suggestion is certainly one method (just not one I happen to like very much).

No scolding intended.

John

"John Lansberry" <john.lansberry@jhuapl.edu> wrote in message
news:c3f1hp\$fto\$1@aplcore.jhuapl.edu...

>

> "Craig Markwardt" <craigmnet@REMOVEcow.physics.wisc.edu> wrote in message
> news:on65d167y8.fsf@cow.physics.wisc.edu...

>>

>> GrahamWilsonCA@yahoo.ca (Graham) writes:

>>

>>> Does anyone know if it is possible to take an average of regularly
>>> sampled quaternions to get a mean orientation (i.e. a mean rotation
>>> matrix)? I seem to recall there being a trick involved but beyond
>>> re-normalizing the resulting (averaged) quaternion, I cannot remember
>>> what it is.

>>

>> I am sure I will be scolded by somebody, but I believe that you can
>> average the quaternion components, and then normalize as you say.
>> This assumes that you are noise dominated.

>>

> Averaging components is a bad idea no matter what, since the result is
never

> a "quaternion." The OP doesn't imply anything about "noise."

>

>> Also, there is one trick that I can think of, which is that
>> quaternions are degenerate. For each unique rotation, there are two
>> possible quaternions whose components have opposite signs. This is
>> because a positive rotation about axis V is identical to a negative
>> rotation about axis -V.

>>

>> If your system is capable of both signs indiscriminately, then you
>> must make the sign conventions uniform. For example, by always making
>> one component positive.

>

> You are correct that q and $-q$ represent the same rotation - that's not
> "degenerate", it's just not "unique." Typically, the "scalar" part of the
> quaternion, $\cos(\theta/2)$, is chosen to be the component that's always
> positive.
>
> John
>
>
