

---

Subject: Re: Compiling file with many functions: huge performance difference between IDL and IDLDE

Posted by [Sidney Cadot](#) on Thu, 18 Mar 2004 20:36:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Andrew Cool wrote:

```
> OK, me dumb bunny - me no know what a string based map is.  
> But based on your example above, how about this?  
>  
> map_array = Strarr(12000,2)  
>  
> map_array(0,1) = string(indgen(12000),form='(i5.5)')  
> map_array(5000,0) ='dick'  
>  
> t = Systime(1)  
> found_index = Where(map_array(*,0) EQ 'dick')  
> print,'Time taken = ',Systime(1) - t,' seconds'  
> print,'Found Index = ',found_index  
> ret_value = map_array(found_index,1)  
> print,'Returned Value = ',ret_value
```

This uses a linear search. This is a lot slower than IDL's internal hashing, which is basically O(1).

```
> Now on my PC, Time taken =      0.00000000 seconds,  
> which I'd call pretty close to "optiomal".
```

Try calling it a million times and compare it to my solution; you will see a significant difference.

```
> Do you really need 12000 function definitions?
```

I have yet to see a better solution.

Best regards,

Sidney

---