
Subject: Re: Keyword abbreviation ambiguities
Posted by [mwvogel](#) on Mon, 05 Apr 2004 08:48:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

One way to overcome this would be to use the `_EXTRA` keyword. One of many options would be

```
function testfunc, _EXTRA=e
;title=t,
;title1=t1
FOR i=0,N_TAGS(e)-1 DO $
CASE (TAG_NAMES(e))[i] OF
'TITLE' : t = e.title
'TITLE1': t1 = e.title1
ENDCASE
print, t, t1
return, 0
end
```

Of course error checking should be performed. And full keywords should be used. For keyword completion, I think I once saw a post by Reimar Bauer on that topic.

"Oliver Thilmann" <justspam03@yahoo.de> schreef in bericht
news:c992bd37.0404040539.3446cff@posting.google.com...

```
> Hi,
>
> the following piece of code:
>
>> pro test
>> y = testfunc( title=10, title1=20 )
>> end
>>
>> function testfunc, title=t, title1=t1
>> print, t, t1
>> return, 0
>> end
>
> results in the error message (IDL6.0):
> % Ambiguous keyword abbreviation: TITLE.
>
> I don't see any ambiguity here. May I consider this a
> (design-)bug, or is there some deeper meaning to this behaviour?
> Regards
> Oliver
```
