
Subject: Re: Read & write data files b/w IDL & Fortran 90
Posted by [bridgemat](#) on Wed, 14 Apr 2004 20:45:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey, thanks Paul! I'll give it a try. My actual data is all float/real kind of stuff, so I hopefully wouldn't have this problem there. I was just trying to see if I could do it with an "easy" case, but I guess simple isn't always easy! :)

-Bridget

Paul Van Delst <paul.vandelst@noaa.gov> wrote in message
news:<c572r2\$tuc\$1@news.nems.noaa.gov>...

> Paul Van Delst wrote:

>> bridgemat wrote:

>>

>>> I did try the /f77_unformatted keyword when writing the original file,

>>> but I still couldn't get it to work. It shouldn't have anything to do

>>> w/ using Fortran 90 instead of 77, right? Or am I doing something

>>> altogether wrong in my Fortran program?

>>

>>

>> Hello,

>>

>> I just grabbed both your codes and mucked about and had a "d'oh" episode.

>>

>> You create the data in IDL using INDGEN == 2 byte ints. In your f90 code

>> you read the data with the default int which is 4 bytes. That's why

>> you're getting the I/O error.

>>

>> Two options:

>>

>> 1) In IDL create the data like so:

>> test=LINDGEN(3,4,5)

>> And read it with your current f90 code.

>>

>> Or

>>

>> 2) Create the data file with your current IDL code and in Fortran90,

>> define your integer arrays like so:

>> INTEGER, PARAMETER :: ip = SELECTED_INT_KIND(4)

>> INTEGER(ip), DIMENSION(3,4,5)::arr_in,arr_out

>>

>> The integer kind parameter from SELECTED_INT_KIND(4) should give you the

>> kind type for a 2-byte integer.

>>

>> I prefer option (1) coz it's the simplest, but YMMV.

>

> Apologies for my own followup, but in either option above you'll still need the
> /f77_unformatted keyword in the IDL routine.
>
> cheers,
>
> paulv
