Subject: Re: Bug in ISHFT? (NOT)
Posted by marc schellens[1] on Tue, 01 Jun 2004 06:07:08 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Craig Markwardt wrote:
> Craig Markwardt <craigmnet@REMOVEcow.physics.wisc.edu> writes:
>> Would anybody call this a bug in IDL?
>>
>> IDL> print, ishft(6UL,40UL)
        1536
>> IDL> print, ishft(6UL,8UL)
        1536
>>
>>
>> ISHFT only seems to handle integers up to 32 bits, and then wraps
>> around.
>
 ... or rather, I had a bug in my brain. Somehow I was mixing up
> unsigned long and unsigned long64. My mistake.
I think there was a point in bringing it up:
At least its not really consistent:
IDL> print,ishft(1b,8)
IDL> print, ishft(1,16)
IDL> print, ishft(1L,32)
IDL> print,ishft(1LL,64)
One could think that for 8 and 16 bit there is no wrap-around, but for
32 and 64 there is.
But:
IDL> print,ishft(2ULL,63)
IDL> print,ishft(2ULL,64)
So for 32 and 64 bits, ISHFT divides the second paramter modulo
32 or 64 respectively before applying it, but not for 8 and 16 bit.
```

marc