Subject: Re: Error handling and tracebacks
Posted by David Fanning on Wed, 21 Jul 2004 23:26:47 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Michael Wallace writes:

> How do I disable tracebacks associated with the message procedure?

My goodness, you are having a tough day, today. :-)

- > Before the programming gurus yell at me for potentially hiding bugs my
- > code, let me explain why I want to do this. I have a library of
- > procedures and in certain cases I am creating my own errors via message
- > which are several calls down in the stack. When the error comes out,
- > there is a long traceback, but I only want the end user to see the
- > message itself and not the entire traceback since the traceback is going
- > several layers down into code that the end user shouldn't even be aware
- > of. I do want the user to see the error, but not all the files and line
- > numbers.

I think your only hope here is to CATCH the errors thrown by MESSAGE yourself, then prune the error traceback (which you maybe save in a string variable, with the OUTPUT keyword) and pass it on to the user:

Catch, theError
IF theErron NE 0 THEN BEGIN
Help, /Traceback, Output=helpString
Prune, helpString
Print, helpString
ENDIF

I don't have any idea what the PRUNE procedure might look like. :-(

Cheers.

David

__

David Fanning, Ph.D. Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/