
Subject: Re: Platform recommendation/tradeoffs?
Posted by [velt](#) on Wed, 19 Apr 1995 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <D70BB9.6u1@mv.mv.com>, kennealy@mv.mv.com (Jack Kennealy) writes:
> daft@debussy.crd.ge.com (Chris Daft) writes:
>
>> I second the request for some comparison of IDL's performance on
>> various CPUs.

Our test results:

===== IDL =====

IDL performance test (TIME_TEST and GRAPHICS_TIMES)

Notes:

- (1) cd to a local disk before running the test, e.g. /tmp
- (2) use the second run (swap already allocated)
- (3) maybe do a .run time_test before running.

	computations	graphics
SS20/61/SX, 96MB, Solaris 2.3	14.2s	3.4s
Solaris 2.4	13.2s	
SS10/51/SX, 96MB, Solaris 2.4	16.7s	4.9s
SS10/dual 90MHz Hypersparc,		
96MB, Solaris 2.3	13.8s	2.7s
160MB, Solaris 2.4	13.7s	2.9s
SS10/51/GX, 96MB, SunOS 4.1.3	14.8s	4.0s
690MP/4proc/TGX,		
128MB, SunOs 4.1.3	44.6s	7.7s
SS2/1proc/GS 64MB SunOs 4.1.3	48.2s	15.2s
Sun IPC/CG3 32MB SunOs 4.1.3	72.5s	

Robert Velthuizen (velt@rad.usf.edu),
Digital Medical Imaging Program of the
H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center and Research Institute at the
University of South Florida, Tampa FL 33612.
