

---

Subject: Possible crippling bug in NR\_(SIMP,ROMB)  
Posted by [mhaffner](#) on Tue, 02 May 1995 07:00:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I get erroneous results when using the NR\_SIMP and NR\_ROMB routines recursively that I believe traces to the routines themselves. Here is one of the simplest routines I could think of to illustrate the problem (the idea for this recursive calling comes from NR, section 4.6):

```
=====
FUNCTION myfunc, x
  return, 2.0*x
END

FUNCTION innerint, y
  return, nr_qromb('myfunc', 0.0, 5.0)
END

PRO simpleint
  print, nr_qromb('innerint', 0.0, 2.0)
END
=====
```

Now, executing a statement like 'print, nr\_qromb('myfunc',0.0,5.0)' correctly returns 25.000. However when adding the extra integral from 0-2 over y as shown above in 'simpleint', IDL returns 37.500 when the correct answer should be 50. I have run this exact calculation through the C versions of the NR routines and they correctly report 50.000. I have checked this on SGI's, Alpha's, and DecStations with the same results.

It seems the recursive calling is mangling the results. (Strangely, using the y range of 0-3 gives 50!) If I replace the return statement in 'innerint' with 'return, 25.0' I (of course) get 50. As listed above, 'innerint' should be returning 25.000 every call.

Of course the integral above doesn't need to be calculated this way, but I have some that I need to do using the recursive trick. Has anyone encountered this before and found a workaround? Am I missing something obvious about recursive function calling in IDL?

Thanks.

mh

--

Matt Haffner                   mhaffner@fosters.astro.wisc.edu  
Dept. of Astronomy           University of Wisconsin, Madison

---