

---

Subject: Re: largest array, most memory accessible  
Posted by [Karl Schultz](#) on Thu, 14 Oct 2004 23:59:36 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Karl Schultz" <[kschultz\\_no\\_spam@rsinc.com](mailto:kschultz_no_spam@rsinc.com)> wrote in message  
news:10mtqcs4btgsoc1@corp.supernews.com...

>  
> "Rick Towler" <[rtowler@xxx.u.washington.edu](mailto:rtowler@xxx.u.washington.edu)> wrote in message  
> news:ckmcut\$uti\$1@gnus01.u.washington.edu...  
>> Karl,  
>>  
>> How will all this change with the introduction of WinXP-64? While I  
>> very much hope you guys are working on a 64-bit version of IDL for  
>> windows, I won't ask you to comment on that. But, how will opening up  
>> the address space affect the 32bit version of IDL running on windows?  
>  
> I don't know exactly how a 64-bit Windows would run 32-bit apps. But at  
the  
> moment, I do not think it would be that much different than the 3GB  
> situation today. There would probably still have to be some kernel space  
> mapped below 4G.

Actually, I just found a blog saying that a 32-bit app compiled with the  
large address flag can access 4G in Win 64. I don't know how true that  
statement is, however.

Karl

---