

---

Subject: Re: IDL 6.1 EPS error

Posted by [Karl Schultz](#) on Wed, 27 Oct 2004 22:57:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Michael Wallace" <mwallace.no.spam@no.spam.swri.edu.invalid> wrote in message news:10o089qpr07oa4f@corp.supernews.com...

>> IDL 6.1 has some significant bugs in its EPS output. (I don't think the  
>> behaviour you have described resembles any of the bugs I am aware of,  
>> but still...) I understand these are to be fixed in 6.1.1, which was  
>> announced by email on 2 October as a fix for problem in "reading  
>> non-finite data in IDL 6.1", but will also fix a few other problems. It  
>> is to be released in "a few weeks".

>

>

> I've found the specific culprit of my problem. I should have thought to  
> look at the actual EPS code created, but it didn't dawn on me until now.  
> The EPS code is writing nan's to the actual EPS file. This only  
> happens for my text objects and always follows the same pattern.

>

> /Courier findfont 13.9875 scalefont setfont

> ()

> nan nan M T

This answers the question I posed in my first posting to this thread. There is an empty text string, as evidenced by the () in the PS code above.

If you can tolerate a space character in the text string, I'd suggest putting a space character in the text string as a workaround. Or perhaps some non-printable character.

I tried :

```
otext->setproperty, string=STRING(1b)
```

which seemed to work pretty well.

In the PS file I get:

```
()
```

```
320 240 M T
```

where the square means undisplable character, depending on what tool I use to look at the PS code. But a PostScript viewer would probably draw nothing for this string.

The bug was that when a string is completely empty, some character size information was not filled in. This led to the nan or inf numbers ending up in the output file. It also is a fairly random bug. If a reasonable value happened to be there, it might sometimes work.

>  
>  
> It appears that RSI attempted to update the EPS format in the move from  
> 6.0.3 to 6.1. EPS files created with 6.0.3 show "%!PS-Adobe-2.0  
> EPSF-1.2" while files created with 6.1 show "%!PS-Adobe-3.0 EPSF-3.0".  
> And the actual EPS code looks wildly different between the two. So, I  
> guess it's back to IDL 6.0.3 for the time being.

The EPS version has nothing to do with it. Much of the vector output code was reworked in order to draw text objects as text primitives instead of as a set of triangles for IDL 6.1.

Karl

---