Subject: Re: memory issues redux Posted by Karl Schultz on Tue, 16 Nov 2004 22:51:17 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"R.G.Stockwell" <noemail@please.com> wrote in message news:2vv1edF2n9tf7U1@uni-berlin.de...

- > Thanks for all the info Karl!
- > If I make any progress with editbin and /3gb I'll post about it.

We managed to find a machine here that would boot with /3GB. We did the EDITBIN trick on idlde.exe.

The result is that we were not able to allocate an array any larger than we were able to in the 2G environment. The reason is that the system modules are still loaded just below the 2G line and that fragments the virtual address space. So the limit on the largest contiguous block of memory doesn't change much.

We were, however, able to allocate a total of 2.8 GB by allocating many smaller arrays, working down to about 5MB. Now, this doesn't really help applications that are designed to work only with large arrays. But it does show that the /3GB switch seems to work and setting LARGEADDRESSAWARE with editbin appears to work. But again, if you want to allocate two 1.3 GB arrays, as you said in the original posting, I don't think that the 3GB environment is likely to help you much. And it won't help other people who think that the 3GB environment is going to solve certain memory problems. The answer is, and always will be, change your app to work with smaller chunks, or go 64-bit. The 3GB environment just gives you more smaller chunks.

Another thing to keep in mind is that booting with 3GB is not free. The extra 1G of virtual address space must come from someplace, and in this case it is the operating system, since the upper 2G had previously been reserved for it. This means that certain system resources like file caches, system page tables, GDI bitmap storage space, etc, now have less space. So, turning on 3GB may also have its disadvantages. I believe that the original intent behind the 3GB switch was to let large database applications run on "server" versions of Windows. These systems are carefully tuned to run as servers and just run the DB application. They were not set up to run interactive things like IDL. Bottom line is that the 3GB switch may not be for everybody. I suppose I'd suggest that people need to fully understand the memory issues before investing a lot of time in this. It may be better in the long run to target a more capable OS. These short term adjustments that provide a sort of help that isn't what is really needed might not be a good investment.

I hesitate to point to this link because I don't know how authoritative it is, but many of the postings that I did read seem to explain what we are

talking about. There are actually some good tutorial-style discussions about virtual memory and the 3GB issue.

http://weblogs.asp.net/oldnewthing/archive/2004/08/22/218527 .aspx

Microsoft explains a problem with using the 3GB switch with XP SP1 in http://support.microsoft.com/default.aspx?scid=kb;en-us;328269

And of course, there is much more out there on the net and in good books about Windows.

Hope this helps, Karl