
Subject: Re: MAP_SET vs MAP_PROJ_*

Posted by [James Kuyper](#) on Thu, 11 Nov 2004 04:04:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Liam Gumley wrote:

> James Kuyper wrote:

>

>> Liam Gumley wrote:

>> ...

>>

>>> For example, how do you define a Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area

>>> Projection in direct graphics centered at a given lat/lon with a

>>> specified resolution, such as 1000 meters per pixel?

>>

>>

>>

>> Since the pixels per centimeter can be different for the x and y axis,

>> you'll have to decide which axis it is that you want to be at 1000

>> meters per pixel. Assuming that it's the Y-axis, this should do it:

>>

>> lat = 22

>> lon = 90

>> resolution = 1000.0

>> MAP_SET,lat,lon,/LAMBERT,scale=resolution*100*!D.Y_PX_CM,/hi res,/grid,/label

>>

>>

>> You didn't ask for the coastlines, the grid, or the labels, but I

>> thought it would be good to have something to tell whether the MAP_SET

>> was correct.

>

>

> Thanks James, I am quite familiar with using MAP_SET in this fashion. I

> am interested in learning how to achieve the same result with the

> MAP_PROJ commands.

Sorry - I'm not familiar with MAP_PROJ, since it's not available in the version of IDL installed on our machines. What does MAP_PROJ do that makes it unacceptable to use MAP_SET instead?
