Subject: Re: MAP_SET vs MAP_PROJ_*
Posted by Liam Gumley on Wed, 10 Nov 2004 16:37:15 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ken Mankoff wrote:

- > In a different thread, I mentioned MAP_SET, and David Fanning wrote:
- >
- >> Well, the MAP_PROJ_*** routines are quite good and can be used with
- >> either direct or object graphics. And of course you get a much more
- >> complete set of map projections and options when you use them.

>

- > I hadn't know about the MAP_PROJ_* routines, as I haven't used IDL since
- > 5.6 when they were introduced.

>

- > I don't need the extra projections, nor the fine-grained detail of the
- > major/minor planet axes, etc.

>

>

- > Can anyone recommend one over the other? Is there a speed difference?
- > Also, this is an educational product, and it would be great for the kids
- > to be able to print & make globes out of their maps. Here are some
- > possibilities:
- > http://www.progonos.com/furuti/MapProj/Normal/ProjPoly/Foldo ut/foldout.html

>

- > Has anyone added map projections to the IDL routines and can offer
- > advice? I am comfortable modifying the routines, and in the past have
- > added magnetically-aligned continents as an option to MAP_CONTINENTS.

>

> Thanks,

>

> -k.

I'd love to see a simple example of how the MAP_PROJ routines may be used with direct graphics. The object graphics example in the IDL documentation is beyond me...

For example, how do do you define a Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Projection in direct graphics centered at a given lat/lon with a specified resolution, such as 1000 meters per pixel?

Cheers, Liam. Practical IDL Programming http://www.gumley.com/