Subject: Re: file basename(): bug or feature? Posted by David Fanning on Thu, 24 Feb 2005 21:28:10 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## Benjamin Hornberger writes:

- > On Unix machines, IDL's file\_basename() function doesn't split a path in > Windows format (with backslashes). On Windows machines, it splits both > (yes, I know, on Windows you can also use both to specify a path). Is > this a bug or a feature? > > Linux: > IDL> filename='d:\path\to\file.ex > IDL> print, file\_basename (filename) > d:\path\to\file.ex
- > IDL> filename='d:/path/to/file.ex'
- > IDL> print, file basename (filename)
- > file.ex
- > Windows:
- > IDL> filename='d:\path\to\file.ex'
- > IDL> print,file\_basename(filename)
- > file.ex
- > IDL> filename='d:/path/to/file.ex'
- > IDL> print,file\_basename(filename)
- > file.ex

>

- > I have a situation where a Linux machine has to handle Windows paths,
- > where this is quite annoying ...
- > Any comments?

I'm not sure it's a bug OR a feature. More likely the sensible way to write the program, given the original purpose. :-)

My FSC\_BASE\_FILENAME program has the same problem, simply because I use PATH SEP to obtain the path separator. I think it would be difficult to write a generic routine to handle all types of path separators properly, but you could probably write a pretty good specific one if you were sure of the types of filenames you would be getting. I guess FSC\_BASE\_FILENAME is as good a place as any to start.

http://www.dfanning.com/programs/fsc base filename.pro

$\sim$	h۰	_	-
C	ne	;ei	5

David

-

David Fanning, Ph.D. Fanning Software Consulting, Inc. Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/