Subject: Re: IDL killer

Posted by David Fanning on Mon, 14 Mar 2005 13:14:08 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

m_schellens@hotmail.com writes:

$$> ++(((a=1))=3)$$

I think it must be a general rule by now that any time you fail to have a LHS of an expression in IDL (by, for example, putting the RHS in parentheses and thereby making it a temporary variable) there is an excellent chance you will crash IDL. Just on the face of it, I would guess there must be an almost unlimited number of these expressions.

I'm not so sure this is a bug as much as it is a peek into the underlying structure of the IDL code. In any case, I'm not surprised by it any longer.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D. Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/