Subject: Re: precedence question Posted by Foldy Lajos on Tue, 15 Mar 2005 14:35:29 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Tue, 15 Mar 2005, David Fanning wrote:

```
> =?ISO-8859-2?Q?F=F6ldy_Lajos?= writes:
>
> David's Operator Precedence Tutorial has the same table, and refers to
>> '[]' and '.' as equal precedence operators, so it is wrong, too :-)
>
> All I know is that as soon as you start parsing "left", "right",
> and "equal" people's eyes glaze over. It's right enough for me.
> If it's not right *always*, well, I don't hold people to higher
> standards than I aspire to. :-)
>
> Cheers,
> David
>
```

Sorry, David, I didn't want to attack you. Your tutorials (and the full web site) is excellent.

I just came over an expression, where the IDL sw and docs contradict. I wanted to know, whether this is a real contradiction, or there is a "hidden rule" somewhere I don't know of.

OK, let's close this topic.

regards, lajos