
Subject: Re: Matrix expansion performance
Posted by [Chris Lee](#) on Wed, 30 Mar 2005 15:21:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article

<Pine.LNX.4.44.0503301010060.7505-100000@localhost.localdomain>, "Timm Weitkamp" <dont.try@this.address> wrote:

> On 29.03.05 at 10:55 +0100, Ricardo Bugalho wrote:
>> I think I didn't make clear the ranges of m,n and p. In the problem I
>> have at hand, m is always 8, n is usually 5 (min 1, max 16) and p is in
>> the range of 10,000 to 100,000. Looping over p is a BadThing(tm) due to
>> IDL's high interpretation overhead.
> The method that Chris Lee suggested does not use loops. But I think
> there is no need for any call to REFORM. And the dimension arguments to
> REBIN must be scalars in IDL 5.4. A simple
>
> b = rebin(a, m, n, p, /sample)
> should therefore work (and, hopefully, be fast enough for your
> purposes). Timm
>

My first reaction was "when did that happen?", I tried it without the reform, and it works...except

```
IDL> help, rebin(fltarr(4,5),[7,4,5,6])  
% REBIN: Result dimensions must be integer factor of original dimensions
```

doesn't work (6.1.1 Linux), but the reform version does

```
IDL> help, rebin(reform(fltarr(4,5),[1,4,5,1]),[7,4,5,6])  
<Expression>  FLOAT  = Array[7, 4, 5, 6]
```

So my world-view isn't completely shattered :)

Chris.
