
Subject: Re: matching lists

Posted by [Dick Jackson](#) on Wed, 06 Apr 2005 20:58:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi all,

This is a follow-up to a thread from March, 2000, where JD Smith had offered functions for returning indices of the values in one array that are found in a second array. Perhaps due to a change in what Sort() does with identical entries, the "ind_int_SORT" function gave erroneous results.

(I'm on IDL 6.1.1, Windows XP Pro, and I get this:

```
IDL> print,sort([1,1])
      1      0
)
```

Here's a simple fix that lets it work no matter *what* order Sort() puts the indices of identical entries in. (even if it is inconsistent within a single call to Sort(!))

=====

```
:: Return the indices of values in a which exists anywhere in b
:: (one only for repeated values)
function ind_int_SORT, a, b
  flag=[replicate(0b,n_elements(a)),replicate(1b,n_elements(b) )]
  s=[a,b]
  srt=sort(s)
  s=s[srt] & flag=flag[srt]
  wh=where(s eq shift(s,-1) and flag ne shift(flag, -1),cnt)
  if cnt eq 0 then return, -1
  return,srt[wh+flag[wh]]
end
=====
```

The fix is just in the "return..." line, which had read:

```
  return,srt[wh]
... and which for me returned:
```

```
IDL> print,ind_int_SORT(['1','2'],['1','2'])
      2      3
```

Here we need to know whether an item in 'srt' came from 'a' or 'b', and we know that from 'flag'. So now, we get:

```
IDL> print,ind_int_SORT(['1','2'],['1','2'])
      0      1
```

Note that this doesn't guarantee that the result indices are sorted:

```
IDL> print,ind_int_SORT(['1','1','2','3'],['1','2','4'])
```

```
      1      0      2
```

Hmm, since JD's always did guarantee this (like Where() does it), here's one more amendment:

```
=====
```

```
;; Return the indices of values in a which exists anywhere in b
```

```
;; (one only for repeated values)
```

```
function ind_int_SORT, a, b
```

```
  flag=[replicate(0b,n_elements(a)),replicate(1b,n_elements(b) )]
```

```
  s=[a,b]
```

```
  srt=sort(s)
```

```
  s=s[srt] & flag=flag[srt]
```

```
  wh=where(s eq shift(s,-1) and flag ne shift(flag, -1),cnt)
```

```
  if cnt eq 0 then return, -1
```

```
  result=srt[wh+flag[wh]]
```

```
  return,result[sort(result)]
```

```
end
```

```
=====
```

Mark Fardal posted a version of this with a different calling sequence, here's the amended version of that (two changes, for a_ind and b_ind):

```
=====
```

```
pro listmatch, a, b, a_ind, b_ind
```

```
  flag=[replicate(0b,n_elements(a)),replicate(1b,n_elements(b) )]
```

```
  s=[a,b]
```

```
  srt=sort(s)
```

```
  s=s[srt] & flag=flag[srt]
```

```
  wh=where(s eq shift(s,-1) and flag ne shift(flag, -1),cnt)
```

```
  if cnt ne 0 then begin
```

```
    a_ind = srt[wh+flag[wh]]
```

```
    a_ind = a_ind[sort(a_ind)]
```

```
    b_ind = srt[wh+1-flag[wh]]-N_Elements(a)
```

```
    b_ind = b_ind[sort(b_ind)]
```

```
  endif else begin
```

```
    a_ind = -1
```

```
    b_ind = -1
```

```
    return
```

```
  endelse
```

```
end
```

```
=====
```

Thanks to JD and Mark for their original work!

Cheers,

--

-Dick

Dick Jackson / dick@d-jackson.com
D-Jackson Software Consulting / http://www.d-jackson.com
Calgary, Alberta, Canada / +1-403-242-7398 / Fax: 241-7392
