## Subject: Re: Overlaying gridded winds on satellite data Posted by R.G. Stockwell on Thu, 21 Jul 2005 14:53:09 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"Christopher Lee" <cl@127.0.0.1> wrote in message news:20050721.082817.1875335928.19310@buckley.atm.ox.ac.uk...

- > Wind barbs point in the direction the wind is coming FROM. Hence the
- > terms westward (winds coming from the west) and eastward (winds coming
- > from the east) etc.

You forgot to include the minus sign out front. :)

Eastward blows towards the east. Eastward = Westerly (out of the West). Colin Hines, the great Canadian Physicist, had a great line about the confusion

between this terminology. I don't remember what it was, so I'll make one up.

"One walks forwards, one does not walk backwardserly".

The point of course was that everyone should use the terms \*wards, and banish

\*erly from the literature.

Having said that, I do recognize that "an eastward wind" often means that it is

an easterly wind. So basically no one can conclude what the frelling direction

of the wind is, there is a 180 degree ambiguity.

"David Fanning" <davidf@dfanning.com> wrote in message news:MPG.1d4873bfdf7ab7a5989a20@news.frii.com...

..

- > I'm not making any more changes in this program until
- > someone sends me a definitive reference for wind barbs.

The barbs are \*erly, i.e. what direction the wind came from. Arrows should be \*wards, i.e. what direction the wind is blowing.

What better definitive reference than a semi-anonymous usenet posting.

Also, for barbs, see http://www.al.noaa.gov/WWWHD/pubdocs/windbarb.html

Cheers.

bob (the human, not to be confused with JD's pointer pointing to a big pile of data)