
Subject: Re: Definition of skewness

Posted by [JD Smith](#) on Fri, 12 Aug 2005 20:41:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, 12 Aug 2005 16:30:33 +0000, Mike Chinander wrote:

> In article <MPG.1d66754d527d4ad6989a3d@news.frii.com>,

> David Fanning <davidf@dfanning.com> wrote:

>

>> No excuse!? Goodness, I could think of several, just off
>> the top of my head. Starting with the fact no one has gone

>> to the trouble to point it out to them. I really doubt that

>> RSI is so perverse as to *deliberately* leave inaccurate

>> information in its documentation just to see new users

>> squirm.

>>

>

> I wasn't implying that the inaccurate description was deliberate on RSI's part.

I find the documentation group at RSI to be very responsive (if a bit understaffed). Send it in, and I'd wager good wampum it will get fixed in the next release. Interestingly, none other than Richard Stallman has found spelling errors in their docs (via the IDLWAVE help files), which, of course, they fixed.

JD
