Subject: Re: Sort without loops Posted by JD Smith on Wed, 17 Aug 2005 19:02:57 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005 10:08:07 +0100, Ian Dean wrote:

```
> Hi All.
     I have a large string array (~100000 elements) that need sorting on two
> fields within each string.
>
> e.g. array=['F;100', 'ABC;6', 'DE;2', 'DE;10', 'DE;1']
>
> Order required is a) sort items to left of ';' followed by b) sort items
> numerically to right of ';'
> This would produce:
     ABC:6 DE:1 DE:2 DE:10 F:100
>
  A simple sort (sort(array)) procudes:
     ABC:6
             DE:1 DE:10 DE:2 F:100
>
> The only way I've found is to conmvert the RH part to I4.4 format within a
 loop and search on the new values:
>
  .....
    for j=0, n_elements(array)-1 do begin
>
       parts=strsplit(array[j], ';', /extract)
>
       RH=string(fix(parts[1]), format='(I4.4)')
>
       new[j]=parts[0]+RH
>
     endfor
>
     order=sort(new)
>
> ...
> i.e
     new array is F;0100 ABC;0006 DE;0002 DE;0010
>
                                                             DE;0001
> which is then sorted correctly.
> Is there a clever way of sorting on two fields like this without using a
> loop. The above algorithm is faaaar slower than just using sort.
Similar to your approach, and Peter's, but without the loop:
IDL> pos=transpose(strpos(array,';'))
IDL> s=sort(strmid(array,0,pos)+string(FORMAT='(I5.5)',strmid(array,pos+1)))
IDL has no good way to alter the sorting semantics, to simultaneously
```

sort on multiple fields. Most languages offer the ability to specify a sorting function, which compares two elements for GT, LT, or EQ, using any logic you like. Since IDL doesn't allow this, you're forced to re-cast your entire set as strings or integers.

Page 2 of 2 ---- Generated from comp.lang.idl-pvwave archive