
Subject: Re: Bug in operator precedence
Posted by [Foldy Lajos](#) on Wed, 17 Aug 2005 07:05:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hi,

RSI does the same, it has constructs like

if (measure eq 3 && ~N_ELEMENTS(powerIn)) then ...

in his library, which rely on ~ having higher precedence than &&.

regards,
lajos

On Wed, 17 Aug 2005, Mark Hadfield wrote:

> * Like many people, I don't bother to read the manual unless I
> really need to, and I have code that relies on what IDL does,
> not what the manual says it should do.
