Subject: Re: Building Voxel arrays from png files (compression, analysis, and visualization)

Posted by David Fanning on Mon, 22 Aug 2005 19:48:49 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Greener writes:

- > I have no previous programming experience, prior to this I was a
- > biology student with some computing background. I am working on
- > speeding up the below script and trying to reduce the amount of data
- > lost during compression. Currently I am relying on the IDL
- > Interpolation to create a new voxel array from a series of
- > 2-dimensional images. I am constrained to 1.5 GB of RAM and I am
- > using the two for loops in an attempt to reduce the amount of data in
- > memory at any one time.
- > The program usually takes 4 days or more hours to run a set of 10,000
- > images compressed 4x, resulting in a voxel array of dimensions
- > [2000,2000,2500]. The images are pictures of small animal organs and
- > are being used to image the arterial networks.
- > Any suggestions would be greatly appreciated.

Just a couple of suggestions. First, is there any reason why you are choosing to use INTERPOLATE rather than REBIN or CONGRID (which, I guess, actually uses INTERPOLATE). CONGRID just seems more straightforward to me.

Second, on your INTERPOLATE command you are using this kind of syntax:

> new_img = INTERPOLATE(sub_vol[*,*,*],x_ramp,y_ramp,z_int,/GRID)

In fact, you use the sub_vol[*,*,*] syntax quite a lot. That is *really* using a lot of memory. Here is an article that explains why:

http://www.dfanning.com/misc_tips/submemory.html

Perhaps just changing this line to this:

new img = INTERPOLATE(sub vol,x ramp,y ramp,z int,/GRID)

will speed things up dramatically.

The experts will probably have other things to say about your algorithm. :-)

Cheers.

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/