
Subject: Re: Constrained Optimization routine

Posted by [James Kuyper](#) on Mon, 29 Aug 2005 17:26:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Gianluca Li Causi wrote:

> Thanks James, I'd not tried it!

>

> I've done it now upon your suggestion: it really does what I've
> described, but I've realized that it does not work with my specific
> problem, probably because one of my boundary G functions is a discrete
> one!

> In fact i have to minimize F so that a continuous G1 constraint is
> satisfied AND a second discrete constraint, G2, (which is the number of
> local maxima of F, so an integer value) is fixed.

>

> Can you or anybody else suggest me a similar routine which also handle
> discrete functions?

Would it be feasible to iterate over the plausible values of the discrete constraint, doing a separate constrained minimization for each, and then select the value that has the lowest minimum? It's a brute force method, but it should work, if it doesn't overstrain your system.

I've seen minimization routines that allowed you to specify a minimum step size; by setting that step size to 1 for G2, you could get it to handle this pretty automatically. Unfortunately, CONSTRAINED_MIN doesn't seem to have this feature.

If the brute-force method is too expensive, you might try writing a function that takes a single argument, which it rounds to the nearest integer, and uses as the number of local maxima. It would call CONSTRAINED_MIN to find the minimizing combination of the other variables for that number of maxima, returning the value of that minimum. This is a one-dimensional function that can itself be minimized by a routine which does have a minimum step size that can be set to 1, such as NEWTON(..., TOLX=1) or FX_ROOT(..., TOL=1).
