

---

Subject: Re: Help with Histogram...

Posted by [MA](#) on Wed, 28 Sep 2005 18:54:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hello Peter,

I've been playing around with your second suggestion for a while. There are a couple of added difficulties with my data.

For one, the actual height levels (corresponding to 'height\_level' in your example) vary from profile to profile. The other problem is that the arrays containing the cloud bases and tops are not always full (i.e. there are not always 8 stacked clouds). Most of the time, only the first 1-3 places in the array actually contain data, the rest is set to a default value. I have another array (length=number of profiles) that holds the number of clouds in each profile.

On the first problem:

I have to alter the line from your example

```
base_idx = ceil(interpol(x, height_level, base))  
; in dimensions: [10,8]=ceil(interpol([60],[60],[10,8]))
```

to dimensions

```
[10,8]=ceil(interpol([60,10],[60,10],[10,8]))
```

x and height\_level are now 60x10 arrays, to account for the changing height levels in different profiles.

BUT, the resulting array from this line (base\_idx, top\_idx) doesn't give me the right answer.

I think it is because it probably does the interpolation now in both dimensions. Is there a way to tell Interpol to only interpolate in the one direction (between height levels, but not between profiles)? I haven't found such an option on the help, but maybe I overlooked it.

Otherwise I'm back to looping over the profiles:

For i=0,num\_profiles-1 do \$

```
base_idx[* ,i] = ceil(interpol(x[* ,i], height_level[* ,i],  
base[i, *]))
```

The second problem (fill values) is probably not as bad.

I'll look a bit into Ben's suggestion, see if that one does do better with the varying profiles.

Thanks again.

---