

---

Subject: Re: signal processing package for IDL  
Posted by [dpkemp](#) on Thu, 30 Jul 1992 12:56:24 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <14663@umd5.umd.edu> dmatthews@uap.umd.edu writes:  
> If you don't have to do your filtering in real time, do it in the  
> frequency domain, i.e. take the Fourier transform of the data,  
> \_multiply\_ the result by the desired transfer function, and transform  
> that result back to the time domain. It's faster and easier to understand  
> than filtering in the time domain directly.  
>

I'm certain it isn't faster.  
It may or may not be easier to understand.  
I don't believe it is even possible to do exactly.

Everyone :-) knows that convolution in the time domain is equivalent to multiplication in the frequency domain, which is the basis for Fourier transform filtering. This works well if your filter has a relatively large, but finite length impulse response.

If the impulse response is short, filtering in the time domain takes much less computation than doing a forward and inverse FFT.

If the impulse response is infinitely long (such as you might get with even a tiny single pole-pair filter, if the poles are near the unit circle), I don't know if it is even possible to do the filtering using FFT's. If it is possible, then keeping track of the filter state to enable reconstruction of the time domain signal from the Fourier transform blocks is a nightmare.

Here is how to filter L samples of a signal X, producing signal Y, using an all-pole filter of length N, in the time domain:

```
do i = 1, L
  y(i) = x(i)
  do j = 1, N
    y(i) = y(i) + filt(j)*y(i-j)
  end do
end do
```

I doubt that an FFT based filter could be any easier to understand than that (but perhaps I am left-brained and you are right-brained, and "easy" is in the eye of the beholder :-).

-----

My complaint with PVI is that they don't seem to realize that all-pole

filters even exist. It seems like it would be easier for PVI to add an IIR filter primitive to PV-wave, than for MathWorks to add good graphics to Matlab!

Mr. Anderson's package to link Matlab and PV-wave sounds excellent, and it would be great to have it included with the PV-wave distribution, but I'd hate to tie up \*two\* expensive licenses on a routine basis just so the user could do filtering and good graphics simultaneously.

--

Dave Kemp dpkemp@afterlife.ncsc.mil

-----  
"The use of history as therapy means the corruption of history as history."

-- Arthur Schlesinger (quote stolen from Chet Ramey, CWRU)

---