
Subject: Re: reverse problem

Posted by news.qwest.net on Fri, 04 Nov 2005 21:16:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"David Fanning" <david@dfanning.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.1dd571fda9986354989694@news.frii.com...

> The great thing (I guess) about the compiler options
> is that they only take effect in the program module
> in which they are declared. Thus, if you declare
> a compile_opt at the main IDL level, they are not
> in effect for the procedures and functions you run
> at the main level, unless you have placed a
> compile_opt command in those procedures and functions.
>
> This makes it possible to move forward with new ideas
> (that is, put a COMPILE_OPT statement in all the *new*
> procedures and functions you write) and still be able to
> run your rapidly fossilizing ones. :-)
>
> Cheers,
>
> David
>
> --
> David Fanning, Ph.D.
> Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Wow, I did not know that. That is great (I guess).
I had thought the compiler option would have been a
global option, affecting all scopes.

hmmmm, but now that you mention it, I think I'd rather
have it as a global option and change () to [] when it breaks,
than put a compiler option in every piece of code I write.

I've known that they exist, but I'll have to seriously look into these
compiler options.
Perhaps a lil of the RTFM is in order.

Cheers,
bob
