

Hi,

What you are seeing is correct. When you set the SUB_RECT property the image is resized to only include the SubRect area. In your diagram if you set the SUB_RECT to cover tile 3, the image is resized and all the tiles outside tile 3 are discarded.

If you wish to keep the other tiles but prevent them being visible in case your user pans you could look at using CLIP_PLANES on the IDLgrModel containing the image.

Regards,
Steve.

Robbie Barnett wrote:

> G'Day,
>
> Has anyone been experimenting with the new properties available in
> IDLgrImage?
>
> I've been using the new image tiling features in IDL 6.2 for generating
> fast and memory efficient slicing and animations. To render an animation
> I store all frames from an animation sequence side-by-side in a single
> image.
> There is an (semi) explanatory diagram at
> <http://www.zipworld.com.au/~retsil/idl/news/slicing.png>
>
> I use the TILING keyword for extra efficiency. This is for when I only
> want to compute frames as they are rendered and/or only cache frames
> when graphics memory is available. In fact, this allows me to render
> animation or slicing sequences which are larger than what can fit into
> graphics memory.
>
> When I want to animate, I move the current transfer matrix (CTM) so it
> displays each frame in sequence. However, I would prefer to use the
> SUB_RECT
> property to crop the image display (a neat way to prevent the user
> using a pan/zoom
> tool to view adjacent frames), however, SUB_RECT does not behave in the
> way which I would expect. Instead the SUB_RECT keyword deletes all of
> my
> cached tiles each time the property is changed. At the same time I get
> floating point errors from the IDLgrWindow::Draw method.
>

> Given the lack of documentation on the SUB_RECT property, what do you
> think?
> Bug or feature?
>
> (Note: This has been lodged as an RSI Incident #197686)
>
