Subject: Re: Best platform for IDL 6.2?
Posted by Mark Hadfield on Wed, 16 Nov 2005 22:18:57 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Michael Wallace wrote:

>>

- > And one more thing I'll throw in to your list is that IDL under Windows
- > still doesn't have a command line version. That may not be important to
- > some of you, but because of integration we have with other languages, it
- > is very nice to be able to spawn a quick IDL process when you need it
- > whether it's in some automated nightly processing, responding to a
- > request for data on a web site, or other task where you're not sitting
- > in front of your computer.

Sorry to quibble Michael, but what you're referring to, I think, is IDL's lack of a *console-mode* executable on Windows (and I did mention this lack, in connection with IDLWAVE integration). I have submitted a feature request for such a beast--after threatening to do so many times on this group. I haven't got a response yet and I doubt it is a high priority. If a few more people add their \$0.02, perhaps the priority will rise a little. To see proof that an IDL console-mode executable would be feasible, just look at Python.

IDLDE on Windows can be launched from a command line and it does accept command-line parameters. (Like IDL on other platforms, the command-line capability was enhanced substantially in version 6.2 to support automated operation.) However it is not a console-mode program so it always opens a GUI and it cannot interact with the calling process via stdin and stdout. So you are quite right to say that it doesn't play very well with shell scripts, WWW-page processing and remote logons.

And by the way, people, please don't refer to the Windows console-mode command-line and batch-file processor, cmd.exe as a "DOS prompt". OK, Microsoft have been known refer to it in this way, but it has nothing to do with DOS.

Mark Hadfield "Kei puwaha te tai nei, Hoea tahi tatou" m.hadfield@niwa.co.nz
National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA)