
Subject: Re: Version control for IDL software

Posted by [Antonio Santiago](#) on Tue, 22 Nov 2005 07:06:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Richard G. French wrote:

- > I'm working with several students on the development of a set of data
- > analysis tools in IDL, and I'd like to use some sort of version control for
- > the IDL software. The programs reside on an OSX server but are accessible
- > from a variety of other Mac machines. I'm running IDL under Mac OSX.
- >
- > I'd appreciate hearing from UNIX folks about the relative merits of CVS and
- > RCS, or other approaches, to keeping track of versions of procedures and
- > functions that are called from other IDL routines, or if this is even
- > possible within the CVS/RCS paradigm.

Rememver SVN. It is the big/new brother of CVS.

- >
- > If these tools don't do the job, I'd like advice on other ways to make sure
- > that I have a record of the exact versions of program files called by a
- > given large IDL program. I'm less worried about two users editing the same
- > file at the same time, and more concerned with coming up with a sensible
- > scheme whereby we can 'freeze' a given version of a routine and feel fairly
- > confident that we know which version is used at any given time.
- >

Yes, they can edit the same file and "merge" it later. You can restore a previous version, as old as it exists in the repository, instead to rewrite some modifications. You can create new branches of development and tag a state as a "first test version".

- > What I've done in the past is to use a version number in the name of the
- > procedure or function - for example:
- >
- > Pro complicated_procedure_v2,arg1,arg2
- > End
- >
- > which would then be called from another program as
- > complicated_procedure_V2, arg1,arg2
- >
- > However, I don't bump up the version number very often, and it can be a
- > nuisance to change other code to call this new version of the program.
- >
- > Another approach might be to adopt a convention whereby every function or
- > procedure that I write in the future has a VERSION keyword that would let me
- > determine what version is being used during a given run of the code. This
- > seems a bit clumsy but perhaps some of you have adopted this or a better
- > scheme.
- >
- > What makes life a bit complicated is that lots of my routines call other

> utility routines from nifty libraries contributed by many of you folks.

>

--

Antonio Santiago Piñerez
(email: santiago<at>grahi.upc.edu)
(www: <http://www.grahi.upc.edu/santiago>)
(www: <http://asantiago.blogspot.org>)

GRAHI - Grup de Recerca Aplicada en Hidrometeorologia
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya
