
Subject: Re: IDL objects (not object graphics) tutorial?
Posted by [Antonio Santiago](#) on Thu, 24 Nov 2005 07:55:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Richard G. French wrote:

> I'd like to learn how to make use of IDL objects. I'm not ready for object
> graphics yet, because I'd like to understand INITs and SELF and classes and
> methods before worrying about viewports and plots disappearing because I am
> not using the correct projection scheme. I've scoured the web in vain
> looking for a simple tutorial on how and when to use objects in IDL. I've
> found a few generic tutorials praising the virtues of object-oriented
> programming, but almost none of the examples give me any sense of why one
> would go to the trouble. For example, one tutorial describes an object that
> can return constants such as the speed of light or Planck's constant, but it
> isn't obvious to me why this is superior to a simple function that returns
> clight() or PlancksConst().
>

It can seem stupid but remember the things can be done by multiple ways.
That is, all you can do with object can be done without it (but perhaps
it will be more difficult). All you can do with IDL, can be done with C
or Fortran, and can be done in assembler (o noooooo !!!).

Before learning "object oriented programming", take a look at the basic
concepts related with the "object oriented", then you can understand how
these concepts are applied in IDL. I like to note that OO in IDL is a
bit... special. You can get examples in Java that is pretty clear and
understands the "self" (or this), calls to superclasses and so on.

The OO way isn't always the best way for all problems, but it is really
a more good approach to some problems because the concepts of OO are more
closely (or not :)) to the real things than a functional approach.

The first problem in OO is: Can you model/represent that you want? If
you can model it with classes, association and generalizations and it
helps you, then it is the right way, else it isn't.

For example, you can understand an image as an `ObjectImage`. This is
composed by `ObjectPixel` that it is composed by three/four `ObjectByte`.

`ObjectImage (1) -----> (*) ObjectPixel (1) -----> (4) ObjectByte`

Then you can program these classes to implement the methods needed to
work with images, but I think this is a very bad use of OO.

> What I am looking for is something with a simple application or two in which
> it is both clear why using objects is superior AND which explains what is

> meant by self and methods and classes. Without some specific examples to
> look at, I am having a hard time making sense of the nomenclature or of the
> value of the approach.
>

A basic, little or simple application in IDL (or any language) not needs strictly the approach to OOP. It depends on your needs. Well, here I would define the terms "little" and "simple" but I think you understand me.

I can give you an example of OO application I am working on (with the rest of my job companion :)). We have developed an application that can read some data from radar, meteorological satellite, lightnings information and some GIS information. Every data is "transformed" to a so called class "frame", and every frame is placed in a "layer". Layers can be visible/invisible, animated/stopped/frozen, ... and all the layers are visualized together in the same style of Photoshop :D (this sounds very good).

Here is a good example of using OOP, because the concepts: layer and frame are easily represented and handled with object.

> This is prompted in part by David's nifty little pixmap object that I've
> already made use of in a new program - thanks, David.
>
> Someone must be out there just waiting to get rich writing a book on this
> topic. The second volume can be about object graphics - I'd settle for the
> first volume for now - a gentle introduction to objects in IDL. Any
> suggestions? Thanks!
>
> Dick French
>

--

Antonio Santiago Píez
(email: santiago@grahi.upc.edu)
(www: <http://www.grahi.upc.edu/santiago>)
(www: <http://asantiago.blogspot.org>)

GRAHI - Grup de Recerca Aplicada en Hidrometeorologia
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya
