
Subject: Re: Widget_base woes

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Thu, 24 Nov 2005 15:28:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Peter Albert writes:

```
> The problem with widgets is that the ROW
> and COLUMN keywords just work weird. Setting ROW=n will create n rows
> in the end, however, subsequent elements are put in subsequent columns.
> Just an example:
>
> base=widget_base(row=3)
> a=widget_button(base, value="a")
> b=widget_button(base, value="b")
> c=widget_button(base, value="c")
> d=widget_button(base, value="d")
> widget_control, base, /real
>
> will create a widget which looks like this:
>
> a  b
> c
> d
>
> So we have three rows, as we defined, but not that the buttons were
> filled in row after row, that would have been too easy ...
```

I agree that setting COLUMN and ROW keywords to something other than 1 results in screwy results most of the time, but I think the algorithm it uses for layout is a reasonable algorithm. It seems to be something like this for a ROW base:

1. Calculate the X size of the widgets that are going to go into this base.
2. Maintaining their creation order (as I do everywhere else), put them in X rows in such a way that I get approximately X equal-length rows.

The problems comes about when you put things in the base that do not have identical sizes. Since widgets are discrete things and cannot be divided, the layout can be surprising. And it will also be affected (usually in a negative way) by other things around it.

In addition to sticking to COLUMN=1 and ROW=1 keywords, I sometimes use the GRID keyword, too. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
