Subject: Re: Polygon Clipping Algo in IDL Posted by JD Smith on Wed, 14 Dec 2005 19:01:54 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Wed, 14 Dec 2005 12:02:17 +1300, Mark Hadfield wrote:

- > JD Smith wrote:
- >> On Tue, 13 Dec 2005 14:38:42 +1300, Mark Hadfield wrote:

>>

- >> Hey Mark... remind me what your version did different from mine? I
- >> recall spending weeks trying to vectorize this algorithm, only to give
- >> up and write it as a DLM. It's fairly slow in IDL. Lately I've been
- >> exploring the clipping library GPC, linking it as a DLM.

>

- > It's been a while. Didn't your POLYCLIP clip to a square? Whereas my
- > routines clip to a single line (must be parallel to the X or Y axis for
- > MGH\_POLYCLIP and of arbitrary orientation for MGH\_POLYCLIP2). Clipping to
- > a square or rectangle therefore requires 4 applications. I settled on the
- > line-clipping functions because they are more general and applying them 4
- > times is not significantly slower that applying a rectangle-clipping form
- > once.

## That sounds about right.

- > I spent a fair bit of time tweaking the code and achieved a modest
- > speed-up over the code you sent me (perhaps 2x). The thing that made the
- > difference was converting a couple of functions into in-line code.

I did the same thing, and got a similar speedup. I also lobbied for RSI to give us a high-speed parallel polygon clipper as a native routine. They thought about it a bit. My final tool is called POLYFILLAA, which, like POLYFILLV, computes clipped pixels inside a polygon, but unlike POLYFILLV, gives you the area of the pixel which was clipped, and can (optionally) return the clipped pixel polygon itself (AA stands for "anti-aliasing").

- > I actually wanted this code for the following situation: I have a 2D
- > grid of cells (usually rectangular, sometimes curvilinear) and some
- > polygons which, for the sake of argument, we can consider to be land
- > areas. For each cell in the grid, i want the fraction that is inside one
- > of the polygons. So for each cell I clip every polygon and calculate the
- > area (if any) in the clipped polygon. This approach is \*not\*
- > particularly fast and implementing the polygon clipping in IDL slows it
- > down further. I'm sure DLM-ed C code would be faster and am interested
- > in your results.

I'll send you the code offline. I actually use a clever method of auto-compiling the C code using MAKE\_DLL, and if that fails, falling back on the slower IDL code. I'm not actually using a DLM, just CALL\_EXTERNAL (which is probably slower for lots of small polygon clips), but I do get another factor of 3 or so speedup. I toyed with encoding GPC as a DLM, and stuffing much larger numbers of polygons down its throat at once, for (I expect) another much larger speedup, but that still on the TODO list. The main annoyance is encoding all of the variously sized resultant pixel polygons on return. A reverse-indices type setup should work.

JD