
Subject: Re: Dual Core Apple "MacBook", and RSI's Mac Intel plans
Posted by [Kenneth P. Bowman](#) on Sat, 21 Jan 2006 00:36:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <1137796144.257630.54020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>, dbowman@fullerton.edu wrote:

> I understand that emacs is the programmers' preferred way to do code,
> and that IT types inexplicably like Windows. However, IDL claims to be
> a *scientific* visualization and analysis package. If RSI really wants
> scientists to use their product, then they should be responsive to what
> *scientists* want, rather than what's popular with software engineers.
> That means things like Mac/Intel and <gasp> Direct Graphics.
>
> Honestly, the only reason I haven't switched to Matlab (which is more
> responsive to scientists) is that it would take me far too long to port
> all my legacy code. This is not a good way to keep a user-base happy!

I like his opinions *and* his last name.

Ken Bowman
