
Subject: Re: Force 8bit display ?

Posted by [Karl Schultz](#) on Wed, 01 Feb 2006 18:14:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 01 Feb 2006 10:47:44 -0700, David Fanning wrote:

> Karl Schultz writes:

>

>> Right. The 8-bit PseudoColor visual was almost a de facto standard on
>> early X servers because it was very efficient on the hardware available at
>> the time. Today's hardware handles the TrueColor 24-bit model well
>> and you don't see the 8-bit stuff as much anymore. Some SUN X servers
>> provide both 8 and 24 bit Visuals.

>

> I'm going to regret saying this, I know, but you
> *might* try asking for a 24-bit DirectColor visual.
> This normally won't work worth a damn, but I have heard
> of an undocumented keyword that is *suppose* to fix
> the problem. After setting to 24-bit direct color,
> try issuing this statement:

>

> DEVICE, /INSTALL_COLORMAP

>

> Now if you change color tables, you graphics window *might*
> change, too. Let us know. :-)
>

Right. If I do:

```
DEVICE, direct_color=24, /install_colormap
LOADCT, 5
TVSCL, dist(400)
```

I'll get the correct colors when I move my mouse over the IDL graphics window. Of course, the rest the screen gets false colors, but it works, at least on Fedora Core 2.

I can then do subsequent LOADCT commands to change the colors immediately if I leave colormap focus on the graphics window and keyboard focus in the xterm.

The reason why /INSTALL_COLORMAP is needed is because SOME of the window managers in the newer desktops either purposely or accidentally do not install private colormaps themselves when colormap focus changes. So, the application (IDL) needs to watch for the focus change and (un)install the colormap itself. This is considered evil from an ICCCM point of view, and that's why it is a keyword. I've filed bugs with these components, and the response was along the lines of "we look forward to your patch".

Karl
