Subject: Re: IDLWave documentation generation
Posted by JD Smith on Fri, 10 Feb 2006 18:55:44 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Fri, 10 Feb 2006 10:15:37 -0800, Gianguido Cianci wrote:

> Are you talking about a standard header/comments format? Then since idldoc
> already has a standard, why not use those conventions? This way it's
> consistent, ready made and compatible with idldoc for those who can get it
> to work.

No, I mean a standard for authors who want to write their own, separate
HTML documentation, and want that to be available using IDLWAVE's context
help, rather than the standard header format, which IDLWAVE already
supports. Does IDLDoc enforce a standard on the name/location of the
produced HTML files?

JD