
Subject: Re: Intel iMac IDL performance

Posted by [JD Smith](#) on Tue, 28 Feb 2006 17:07:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Mon, 27 Feb 2006 21:47:15 -0500, Robert Moss wrote:

> JD Smith wrote:

>> On Mon, 27 Feb 2006 15:09:53 -0600, Kenneth Bowman wrote:

>>

>>> Apple loaned us an Intel Dual-Core iMac for a few days for testing. Here is a
>>> quick comparison:

>>>

>>> Intel system specs:

>>> 2 GHz Intel Core Duo (2 cpus)

>>> 2 GB DDR2 SDRAM

>>> 667 MHz bus

>>> OS X 10.4.5

>>>

>>> PowerPC system specs:

>>> 2.5 GHz PowerPC G5 (4 cpus)

>>> 2 GB DDR2 SDRAM

>>> 1.25 GHz bus

>>> OS X 10.4.5

>>>

>>> We installed the Mac (PowerPC) version of IDL on both. The Intel runs IDL via
>>> emulation software (Rosetta).

>>>

>>> My IDL benchmark code (dominated by 3-D interpolation, random memory access):

>>> PowerPC 31 s

>>> Intel iMac 61 s

>>>

>>>

>>> I played with the IDL demo programs on the Intel iMac and everything that I
>>> tried ran fine. Basic interactive IDL performance is very quick.

>>>

>>> All in all, IDL seems to run fine. Performance is quite respectable for an
>>> emulated system. Native IDL performance (when available) could be comparable to
>>> the G5.

>>

>> Good news. Can you try running your benchmark a few time, Ken?

>> Rosetta is not an emulator, but a caching code translator. When it
>> encounters code it has already translated, it simply uses its cached
>> version of that, which should run somewhat faster, so it's not unusual
>> to have the second and later runs of a given benchmark speed up. Can
>> you also run:

>>

>> IDL> time_test3

>>

```

>> a few times? On my PB G4, that takes 3.6s/0.13s total/geom. mean.
>> Sadly, I expect the iBook Intel/MacBook Pro to beat these numbers even
>> under Rosetta. One other good one to try:
>>
>> IDL> a=randomu(sd,100L*!CPU.TPOOL_MIN_ELTS)
>> IDL> t=systime(1) & a=sqrt(a)/(a>0.5) & print,systime(1)-t
>>
>> which shows how well the threading is working on ~40MB of data. On my
>> PBG4, this takes 1.8s.
>
> Hmm. Maybe your PB is dialed back to save battery power. My Pentium 4m @
> 2.2 GHz and 512 MB RAM gives this:
>
> IDL> a=randomu(sd,100L*!CPU.TPOOL_MIN_ELTS)
> IDL> t=systime(1) & a=sqrt(a)/(a>0.5) & print,systime(1)-t
> 0.62500000
>
> 1.92300=Total Time, 0.062429919=Geometric mean, 23 tests.
>
> I did run these a couple of times to remove the memory allocation time
> you typically see the first time through. Still, I'm surprised.

```

Yes, IDL performance on G4's is pretty pathetic. Much better on G5's.
The excuse seems to be gcc, which I believe is used to compile IDL on all
Unix platforms. So really, the advantage for IDL from moving to
PowerPC->Intel will be larger than average, especially for laptop owners.

JD
