

---

Subject: Re: Introducing FL

Posted by [George N. White III](#) on Tue, 04 Apr 2006 23:28:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Tue, 4 Apr 2006, Paul Van Delst wrote:

> Y.T. wrote:

> [...]

>> At my place of employ I can get Matlab for free (i.e. site-license) but  
>> I'd be horribly worthless with Matlab because I'd be spending my time  
>> re-re-relearning when and where to place a comma or a semicolon and  
>> what was the syntax for a linear fit again? So I use GDL, because that  
>> allows me to get something \*done\*. As in \*now\*.

>

> That, of course, is very important but....

>>

>> (I work for a federally funded research facility and you, the  
>> taxpayer, are expending my salary. Do you really think you're getting  
>> your money's worth out of the deal if I spend my time learning this  
>> years fad-language?)

>

> Yes, actually (being a taxpayer who also happens to work at a federally  
> funded research facility). Learning new programming languages is not unlike  
> learning new spoken languages (except easier IMO). It broadens one's horizon  
> to make different solution methodologies available. In some cases they may  
> help your work, others not. I would be a foolish taxpayer if I expected you  
> to not expend time learning new stuff that may make your job easier and more  
> efficient. And, of course, there's the "personal improvement" aspect -- ya  
> gotta be happy at what you do. :o)

>

> paulv

>

> p.s. I would love to get a matlab site license - even though I barely know  
> enough matlab for the "hello world" chestnut. Until then, learning Ruby and  
> Python while I wait for Fortran2003 compilers will have to do. :o)

I also work at a government funded research facility where we have had Matlab longer than we have had IDL (since the days when Cleve Moler worked for Ardent). I have coded a number of core algorithms we use in both Matlab and IDL as a sort of crib sheet for post-docs (many have worked with Matlab, few with IDL). For my work, Matlab suffers in comparison to IDL because (like S+) it encourages you to use doubles, but (unlike S+) it lacks missing value support which is important for the things I do where doubles are appropriate. If I needed sparse arrays I wouldn't mind the doubles so much, but these days I'm more interested in finding tools that support the OpenEXR 16-bit floating pt. format.

All these languages handle 75-80% of the problems I have without

straining. Where they differ is in the interests of the user community and in the ability to handle the other 20-25% of the problems without asking for money to upgrade my hardware.

--

George N. White III <aa056@chebucto.ns.ca>

---