
Subject: Re: Introducing FL
Posted by [FL](#) on Sun, 02 Apr 2006 19:33:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,

I came from Mars, but it's a secret, don't tell anybody else :-)
(<http://www.setileague.org/askdr/hungary.htm>)

FL has nothing to do with GDL. It started as a learning project in 2000. After twelve years of C programming, I decided to learn C++, and flex and bison, too. The reverse Polish notation calculator from the bison manual was the starting point, but it was too simple. I wanted to extend it, and C or Fortran were too complex. IDL came to my mind, as a simple language :-)

regards,
lajos

On Sun, 2 Apr 2006, Craig Markwardt wrote:

```
> FL <fl@interware.hu> writes:
>
>
>> Hi guys!
>>
>> The first public snapshot of Fawltly Language, an IDL compatible compiler
>> is available. (IDL is a registered trademark of Research Systems Inc.)
>>
>> FL binaries can be freely used and downloaded from the homepage
>>
>
> So this is not an April Fools joke?
>
> What is the relation to GDL?
>
> I'm a little confused that a person who has contributed to a few
> threads in the past few years has suddenly come out with an IDL
> "compiler". I.e. developing a parser, virtual machine, libraries,
> etc. is not a simple effort. Where did F"oldy Lajos come from? :-)
>
> And finally, with this proliferation of "almost-IDL" clones (FL, IDL,
> ANA, Yorick), I have to wonder why everybody has to re-invent the
> wheel (see above). I see the GDL people meticulously toiling over
> basic functionality, and it makes me wonder: wouldn't it have been
> better to write an IDL-to-{Python,Ruby,Perl} translator, and get the
> existing well tested script environment for free? And not only that,
```

> but Python for science seem about to really take off. An infusion of
> well-tested IDL libraries into that community might be enough
> cross-fertilization to really get a non-proprietary version of an
> IDL-like language going strong.
>
> Craig
>
>
>
>
